

Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorems and generalized Casimir invariants for some infinite-dimensional Lie groups: I

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 5975

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/32/32/310>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.105

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 07:38

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorems and generalized Casimir invariants for some infinite-dimensional Lie groups: I

Tuong Ton-That

Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

E-mail: [tonthat@math.uiowa.edu](mailto:tonthat@math.uiowa.edu)

Received 29 March 1999

**Abstract.** Analogues of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for several pairs of Lie groups acting on complex manifolds are studied. These results lead to algebraic analogues of a theorem of I Segal on the two-sided regular representation of a unimodular locally compact group for dual representations of some infinite-dimensional Lie groups on generalized Bargmann–Segal–Fock spaces. Generalized Casimir invariants for these dual representations are also developed.

## 1. Introduction

The Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem, hereafter referred to as PBW, is one of the most fundamental results in the theory of Lie algebras and Lie groups. In [Po] H Poincaré established the existence of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra and proved the so-called PBW theorem (see also [Bi, Wi]); thus introducing the principal device for converting Lie algebra problems into associative algebra problems. In the context of this paper we state the following version of PBW which is essentially the one given by Poincaré.

*Let  $G$  be a Lie group and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of right (resp. left) invariant vector fields on  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the associative algebra of right (resp. left) invariant differential operators of all orders. If  $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  (resp.  $(Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ ) is a basis (of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) of right (resp. left) invariant vector fields then the ordered monomials  $1$  and  $X_{i_1} \dots X_{i_s}$  (resp.  $Y_{i_1} \dots Y_{i_s}$ ) with  $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_s$ ;  $s \geq 1$ , constitute a (vector space) basis for  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

For a more abstract formulation of PBW in terms of the universal enveloping algebra of an abstract Lie algebra see, e.g., [Bo, Ja, Di, Va]. Our first PBW-type theorem can be formulated as follows. Set  $M = \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ ,  $G = GL(k, \mathbb{C})$  and  $G' = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $G$  (resp.  $G'$ ) acts on  $M$  by right (resp. left) matrix multiplication. If  $f$  is a holomorphic function (henceforth denoted by ‘of class  $C^\omega(M)$ ’) on  $M$  we define  $R(g)f$  (resp.  $L(g')f$ ),  $g \in G$ ,  $g' \in G'$ , by

$$(R(g)f)(Z) = f(Zg) \quad \text{and} \quad (L(g')f)(Z) = f((g')^t Z) \quad Z \in M.$$

Then we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  denote the algebra of all  $C^\omega$  differential operators on  $M$  (see equation (2.6) for a precise definition of  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$ ). A differential operator  $D$  of  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  on  $M$  is said to be right (resp. left) invariant if  $D(R(g)f) = R(g)(Df)$  (resp.  $D(L(g')f) = L(g')(Df)$ ) for all  $g \in G$  (resp.  $g' \in G'$ ), and for all  $f$  of class  $C^\omega(M)$ .*

Theorem 2.1 is then a generalization of PBW for the pair  $(G', G)$ ; in particular, when  $n = k$  it is the PBW theorem for the group  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Now suppose  $k \geq 2n$  and let  $M = \{Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} : ZZ^t = 0, \text{rank}(Z) = n\}$ , then  $M$  is a complex analytic manifold. Let  $G = SO(k, \mathbb{C})$  and  $G' = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $G$  and  $G'$  act on  $M$  by right and left multiplications, respectively. We then have an analogue of PBW for this pair of groups. Similarly, we have the analogues of PBW for other pairs  $(G', G)$  where  $G$  is  $Sp_{2k}(\mathbb{C})$  or  $GL(k, \mathbb{C}) \otimes GL^\vee(k, \mathbb{C})$  (where  $GL^\vee(k, \mathbb{C})$  is the abbreviated notation for the group  $GL(k, \mathbb{C})$  acting contragradiently on the manifold  $M$ ) and  $G'$  is a complex general linear group (see [TT1] for details on these pairs).

Now let  $G$  be any unimodular locally compact group and let  $L^2(G, \mu)$  denote the Hilbert space of functions on  $G$  square-integrable relative to the Haar measure  $\mu$ . Let  $R$  (resp.  $L$ ) denote the right (resp. left) regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G, \mu)$ . The closure  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ ) in the weak operator topology of the set of all linear combinations of the  $R(g)$  (resp.  $L(g)$ ),  $g \in G$ , is the right (resp. left) weakly closed group algebra of  $G$ . The set of all bounded operators on  $L^2(G, \mu)$  that commute with each element of  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ ) is called the commutant of  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ ) and is denoted by  $\mathcal{R}'$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}'$ ). Let  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{R}}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ) denote the centre of  $\mathcal{R}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}$ ). In physics elements of a centre of some kind are sometimes called *generalized Casimir operators* or *Casimir invariants* (the quadratic invariant of a Lie group is the classical Casimir operator or Casimir element; for further details see, e.g., [Ba+Ra]). In [Se1] I Segal proved that

$$\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{L}. \tag{1.1}$$

A version of this theorem for von Neumann algebras of dual pairs was given in [Ho1] and an algebraic version for classical groups is given in [Ho2]. As a consequence of theorem 2.1, in this paper we shall give several analogues to this beautiful theorem with Bergmann–Segal–Fock spaces playing the role of  $L^2(G, \mu)$ , algebras of differential operators playing the role of the algebra of bounded linear operators on  $L^2(G, \mu)$ , dual pairs of either finite- or infinite-dimensional groups replacing the unimodular locally compact group, universal or generalized universal enveloping algebras replacing group algebras, and centralizers replacing commutants, etc. In this paper we prove these theorems for the pair  $(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), GL(k, \mathbb{C}))$  and its infinite-dimensional analogues. This will enable us to prove similar theorems for other pairs of groups  $(G', G)$  which will appear in a forthcoming paper. Parts of these results were announced in [TT1, TT2].

**2. A PBW theorem for the pair  $(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), GL(k, \mathbb{C}))$**

Recall that  $G = GL(k, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $G' = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $M = \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ . For  $Z \in M$  set  $\partial_{\alpha i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_{\alpha i}}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n, 1 \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $dR$  (resp.  $dL$ ) denote the differential of  $R$  (resp.  $L$ ) which we shall refer to as the infinitesimal action of  $R$  (resp.  $L$ ). Then it can be easily shown that the sets

$$R_{ij} = \sum_{\gamma=1, \dots, n} Z_{\gamma i} \partial_{\gamma j} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\ell=1, \dots, k} Z_{\alpha\ell} \partial_{\beta\ell} \tag{2.1}$$

$1 \leq \alpha \quad \beta \leq n \quad 1 \leq i \quad j \leq k$

are infinitesimal generators. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [R_{ij}, R_{uv}] &= \delta_{ju} R_{iv} - \delta_{vi} R_{uj} & 1 \leq i, j, u, v \leq k \\ [L_{\alpha\beta}, L_{\mu\nu}] &= \delta_{\beta\mu} L_{\alpha\nu} - \delta_{\nu\alpha} L_{\mu\beta} & 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \mu, \nu \leq n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}'$$

Obviously, the  $R_{ij}$  (resp.  $L_{\alpha\beta}$ ) generate a Lie algebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{gl}(k, \mathbb{C})$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ). Since  $L(g')R(g)f = R(g)L(g')f$  for all  $g \in G, g' \in G'$ , and  $f \in C^\omega(M)$  it follows that the  $L_{\alpha\beta}$  (resp.  $R_{ij}$ ) constitute a system of *right (resp. left) invariant vector fields on  $M$* . Let

$\mathfrak{g}'$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) denote this Lie algebra of right (resp. left) invariant vector fields. For  $Z \in M$  we choose an ordering on the row and column indices of  $Z$  and write  $(\alpha, \beta) \leq (\mu, \nu)$  (resp.  $(i, j) \leq (u, v)$ ) if  $(\alpha, \beta)$  precedes  $(\mu, \nu)$  in this ordering (resp.  $(i, j)$  precedes  $(u, v)$ ).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ ) be the associative subalgebra of  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  which consists of all right (resp. left) invariant differential operators on  $M$ .

- (i) If  $n \geq k$  then the ordered monomials 1 and  $L_{11}^{r_{11}} L_{21}^{r_{21}} \dots L_{k1}^{r_{k1}} L_{12}^{r_{12}} \dots L_{k2}^{r_{k2}} \dots L_{1n}^{r_{1n}} \dots L_{kn}^{r_{kn}}$  (resp.  $R_{11}^{s_{11}} \dots R_{kk}^{s_{kk}}$ ) form a basis for  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ ), where  $r_{ij}$  (resp.  $s_{ij}$ ) are non-negative integers such that  $r_{11} + r_{12} + \dots + r_{nk} = r, r \geq 1$  (resp.  $s_{11} + \dots + s_{nn} = s, s \geq 1$ ).
- (ii) If  $n < k$  then the ordered monomials 1 and  $L_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots L_{nn}^{r_{nn}}$  (resp.  $R_{11}^{s_{11}} R_{21}^{s_{21}} \dots R_{n1}^{s_{n1}} R_{12}^{s_{12}} \dots R_{n2}^{s_{n2}} \dots R_{1k}^{s_{1k}} \dots R_{nk}^{s_{nk}}$ ) form a basis for  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ ), where  $r_{ij}$  (resp.  $s_{ij}$ ) are non-negative such that  $r_{11} + \dots + r_{nn} = r, r \geq 1$  (resp.  $s_{11} + s_{12} + \dots + s_{kn} = s, s \geq 1$ ).

**Proof.** By symmetry it suffices to prove the theorem for the case of right-invariant differential operators. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the ordered basis  $\{\partial_{11}, \dots, \partial_{1k}, \partial_{21}, \dots, \partial_{2k}, \partial_{n1}, \dots, \partial_{nk}\}$  of vector fields on  $M$ . Then the matrix of the ordered system  $\mathcal{S}$  of vector fields  $\{L_{11}, \dots, L_{n1}, L_{12}, \dots, L_{n2}, \dots, L_{1n}, \dots, L_{nn}\}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  at  $Z$  is the  $nk \times n^2$  matrix  $dL_Z$  defined by

$$dL_Z = \begin{matrix} k \left\{ \right. \\ k \left\{ \right. \\ \vdots \\ k \left\{ \right. \end{matrix} \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \overbrace{\boxed{Z^t}}^n & \overbrace{\boxed{Z^t}}^n & \dots & \overbrace{\boxed{Z^t}}^n \\ & \boxed{Z^t} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \boxed{Z^t} \end{array} \right] \tag{2.2}$$

where the  $k \times n$  block-matrices on the main diagonal are all equal to  $Z^t$  and the other off-diagonal  $k \times n$  block-matrices are all equal to 0. We prove the theorem by considering the two cases where either  $n \geq k$  or  $n < k$ .

(i) Case  $n \geq k$ . Write  $Z$  as

$$\begin{matrix} k \left\{ \right. \\ n - k \left\{ \right. \end{matrix} \left[ \begin{array}{c} Z_k \\ Z_{n-k} \end{array} \right]$$

and let  $M_0$  denote the subset of all  $Z \in M$  such that  $\det(Z_k) \neq 0$ . Then it can be easily shown that  $M_0$  is an open and dense subset of  $M$ . Thus if  $Z \in M_0$  then  $\text{rank}(Z) = k$  and the first  $k$  rows of  $Z$  are linearly independent and the last  $n - k$  rows of  $Z$  can be expressed as linear combinations of the first  $k$  rows. From the matrix (2.2) it follows that the system  $\mathcal{A} = \{L_{11}|_Z, \dots, L_{k1}|_Z, L_{12}|_Z, \dots, L_{k2}|_Z, \dots, L_{1n}|_Z, \dots, L_{kn}|_Z\}$  constitutes a basis for the

tangent space to  $M$  at  $Z$ . In fact, the matrix of this ordered basis relative to the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$  at  $Z$  is

$$\begin{matrix} k \\ k \\ \vdots \\ k \end{matrix} \left\{ \begin{matrix} \overbrace{\phantom{Z'_k}}^k & \overbrace{\phantom{Z'_k}}^k & \dots & \overbrace{\phantom{Z'_k}}^k \\ \boxed{Z'_k} & & & \\ & \boxed{Z'_k} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \boxed{Z'_k} \end{matrix} \right\} . \tag{2.3}$$

Let  $g \in G$  denote the inverse of the matrix  $Z_k$  then we have

$$\partial_{\alpha i} \Big|_Z = \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} g_{ji} L_{j\alpha} \Big|_Z \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus the matrix of the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$  relative to the ordered basis  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} \boxed{g} & & & \\ & \boxed{g} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \boxed{g} \end{matrix} \right] . \tag{2.5}$$

If  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  denotes the algebra of all  $C^\omega$  differential operators on  $M$  then every element  $D \in \mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  can be uniquely written as

$$D = \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} f_{(r)} \partial^{(r)} \tag{2.6}$$

where  $(r) = (r_{11}, \dots, r_{nk})$  is a multi-index of integers  $r_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $|(r)| = r_{11} + \dots + r_{nk}$ ,  $\partial^{(r)} = \partial_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_{nk}}$ , and  $f \in C^\omega(M)$  (see, e.g., [Va], p 6). Define a filtration of  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  by setting

$$\mathcal{D}_s^\omega(M) = \left\{ \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} f_{(r)} \partial^{(r)} \right\} \quad s \geq 0.$$

The smallest integer  $s \geq 0$  such that  $D \in \mathcal{D}_s^\omega(M)$  is called the degree of  $D$ . An element  $D$  is called *homogeneous of degree  $s$*  if  $D$  is of degree  $s$  and  $D \notin \mathcal{D}_{s-1}^\omega(M)$ . By induction on degrees, using the fact that

$$g_{ji} L_{j\alpha}(g_{uv} L_{u\beta}) = g_{ji} g_{uv} L_{j\alpha} L_{u\beta} + g_{ji} (L_{j\alpha}(g_{uv})) L_{u\beta} \tag{2.7}$$

and equation (2.4), it follows that a monomial  $\partial_{\alpha 1}^{r_{\alpha 1}} \partial_{\alpha 2}^{r_{\alpha 2}} \dots \partial_{\alpha k}^{r_{\alpha k}}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ , can be expressed as a sum of terms of the form

$$\prod_{i=1, \dots, k} \binom{r_{\alpha i}}{(r_{\alpha i})_1 \dots (r_{\alpha i})_k} g_{1i}^{(r_{\alpha i})_1} \dots g_{ki}^{(r_{\alpha i})_k} L_{1\alpha}^{s_{\alpha 1}} \dots L_{k\alpha}^{s_{\alpha k}} + \text{terms of lower degrees in } L_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\binom{r_{\alpha i}}{(r_{\alpha i})_1 \dots (r_{\alpha i})_k}$$

denotes a multinomial coefficient and  $s_{\alpha j} = (r_{\alpha 1})_j + \dots + (r_{\alpha k})_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , with  $s_{\alpha 1} + \dots + s_{\alpha k} = r_{\alpha 1} + \dots + r_{\alpha k}$ . It follows that for a fixed multi-index  $(r)$  with  $|(r)| = s$

$$\partial_{11}^{r_{11}} \partial_{12}^{r_{12}} \dots \partial_{1k}^{r_{1k}} \partial_{21}^{r_{21}} \dots \partial_{2k}^{r_{2k}} \dots \partial_{n1}^{r_{n1}} \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_{nk}} = \sum_{s_{11} + \dots + s_{nk} = s} a_{(s)}^{(r)} L_{11}^{s_{11}} \dots L_{k1}^{s_{1k}} L_{12}^{s_{21}} \dots L_{k2}^{s_{2k}} L_{1n}^{s_{n1}} \dots L_{kn}^{s_{nk}} + \text{terms of lower degree in } L_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $(s) = (s_{11}, \dots, s_{nk})$  and  $a_{(s)}^{(r)}$  are polynomials in  $g_{ij}$  and thus are functions of class  $C^\omega(M)$ .

Now observe that by definition of  $\mathcal{D}^\omega(M)$  terms of degree zero are just scalars, and equation (2.4) expresses homogeneous terms of degree one in  $\partial_{\alpha i}$  in terms of degree one in  $L_{\mu\nu}$ . Using induction on the degree  $s$  of  $D$ , with the first step of the induction being equation (2.4), we prove the  $s$ th step by assuming the  $(s - 1)$ th step and by using equation (2.1)' to rewrite the terms of degree  $\leq s - 1$  in equation (2.9) in function of the ordered basis in  $L_{\mu\nu}$ . It follows that

$$D = \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} f_{(r)} \partial^{(r)} = \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} h_{(r)} L^{(r)} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $L^{(r)} = L_{11}^{r_{11}} L_{12}^{r_{12}} \dots L_{k1}^{r_{1k}} L_{12}^{r_{21}} \dots L_{k2}^{r_{2k}} \dots L_{1n}^{r_{n1}} \dots L_{kn}^{r_{nk}}$  and  $h_{(r)}$  are functions of class  $C^\omega(M_0)$ .

To prove the uniqueness of the coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  we again proceed by induction of the degree  $s$  of  $D$ . For  $s = 1$  we have

$$D = c\mathbf{1} + \sum_{\alpha, i} f_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

where  $\mathbf{1}$  is the evaluation map defined by

$$\mathbf{1}_Z(f) = f(Z) \quad (\forall Z \in M, \forall f \in C^\omega(M))$$

and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Equation (2.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, i} f_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} &= \sum_{\alpha, i} f_{\alpha i} \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} g_{ji} L_{j\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, j} \left( \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} f_{\alpha i} g_{ji} \right) L_{j\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $h_{\alpha j} = \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} f_{\alpha i} g_{ji}$  for all  $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then equation (2.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, i} f_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} &= \sum_{\alpha, j} h_{\alpha j} \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} Z_{ji} \partial_{\alpha i} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, i} \left( \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} Z_{ji} h_{\alpha j} \right) \partial_{\alpha i}. \end{aligned}$$

From the uniqueness of the coefficients  $f_{\alpha i}$  it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1, \dots, k} Z_{ji} h_{\alpha j} = f_{\alpha i} \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{2.11}$$

Equation (2.11) is a system of  $nk$  linear equations in  $nk$  unknowns  $h_{\alpha j}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . The coefficient matrix of this system is precisely given in equation (2.3). By assumption this matrix is invertible, therefore the coefficients  $h_{\alpha j}$  are uniquely determined. Thus step one of the induction holds. For step  $s$  we observe that it is sufficient to prove the uniqueness of the coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  of the homogeneous elements of the highest degree  $s$ . Indeed, suppose that any differential operator of degree  $\leq s - 1$  can be uniquely written in terms of the ordered basis  $L^{(r)}$  with coefficients of class  $C^\omega(M)$ , then using equation (2.1)' to rewrite the remainder in equation (2.9) in terms of the ordered basis  $L^{(r)}$  of degree  $\leq s - 1$  we obtain the uniqueness of the coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  of terms of degree  $\leq s - 1$  of  $D$  in equation (2.10). Now let  $(s) = (s_{11}, \dots, s_{nk})$  such that  $|(s)| = s$  and recall that  $L_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\ell=1, \dots, k} Z_{\alpha\ell} \partial_{\beta\ell}$ . Then a similar calculation as the one used in the derivation of equation (2.9) leads us to the following equation:

$$L_{11}^{s_{11}} \dots L_{k1}^{s_{1k}} L_{12}^{s_{21}} \dots L_{nk}^{s_{2k}} \dots L_{1n}^{s_{n1}} \dots L_{kn}^{s_{nk}} = \sum_{r_{11} + \dots + r_{nk} = s} b_{(r)}^{(s)} \partial_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots \partial_{1k}^{r_{1k}} \partial_{21}^{r_{21}} \dots \partial_{2k}^{r_{2k}} \dots \partial_{n1}^{r_{n1}} \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_{nk}} + \text{terms of lower degree in } \partial_{\alpha i} \tag{2.12}$$

where the  $b_{(r)}^{(s)}$  are polynomial functions in  $Z$ . Now for all multi-indices  $(r)$  and  $(s)$  such that  $|(r)| = |(s)| = s$  let  $A = (a_{(s)}^{(r)})$  denote the matrix with row index  $(s)$  and column index  $(r)$  where  $a_{(s)}^{(r)}$  are defined by equation (2.9), and similarly let  $B = (b_{(r)}^{(s)})$ . Then equations (2.9) and (2.12) imply that

$$\partial^{(r)} = \sum_{|(r')|=s} \left( \sum_{|(s)|=s} b_{(r')}^{(s)} a_{(s)}^{(r')} \right) \partial^{(r')}. \tag{2.13}$$

From the uniqueness of the expression of a differential operator in terms of the ordered basis  $\partial^{(r)}$  equation (2.13) implies immediately that  $BA = I$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix. It follows that  $A$  is the inverse of  $B$ . In equation (2.10) let  $D_s$  denote the homogeneous term of degree  $s$  of  $D$  then

$$D_s = \sum_{|(r)|=s} f_{(r)} \partial^{(r)} = \sum_{|(s)|=s} h_{(s)} \sum_{|(r)|=s} b_{(r)}^{(s)} \partial^{(r)} = \sum_{|(r)|=s} \left( \sum_{|(s)|=s} b_{(r)}^{(s)} h_{(s)} \right) \partial^{(r)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Let  $F = (f_{(r)})$  denote the column matrix with row index  $(r)$ , and similarly set  $H = (h_{(s)})$ . Equation (2.14) immediately implies  $BH = F$ , or using the fact that  $A$  is the inverse of  $B$  we get  $H = AF$ . The uniqueness of  $f_{(r)}$  then implies the uniqueness of  $h_{(s)}$  for all multi-indices  $(s)$  such that  $|(s)| = s$ . Thus the induction is complete, and therefore, the proof of the uniqueness of coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  in the expression of  $D$  in terms of  $L^{(r)}$  is also achieved. Since  $M_0$  is open and dense in  $M$  we may assume that  $h_{(r)} \in C^\omega(M)$ .

Now if  $D$  is a right-invariant differential operator then equation (2.10) implies that

$$\left( \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} h_{(r)} L^{(r)} (R(g)f) \right) (Z) = R(g) \left( \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} h_{(r)} L^{(r)} f \right) (Z) \tag{2.15}$$

for all  $f \in C^\omega(M)$ ,  $g \in G$ , and  $Z \in M$ . Since the  $L_{\alpha\beta}$  are right-invariant vector fields it follows that the  $L^{(r)}$  are right-invariant differential operators. Thus equation (2.15) becomes

$$\sum_{|(r)| \leq s} h_{(r)}(Z) (L^{(r)} f)(Zg) = \sum_{|(r)| \leq s} h_{(r)}(Zg) (L^{(r)} f)(Zg) \tag{2.16}$$

for all  $g \in G$  and all  $f \in C^\omega(M)$ . The uniqueness of the coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  implies that

$$h_{(r)}(Z) = h_{(r)}(Zg) \quad \forall Z \in M \quad \forall g \in G. \tag{2.17}$$

Since  $H = AF$  and  $a_{(s)}^{(r)}$  are polynomials in  $g_{ij}$  and since locally the last  $n - k$  rows of  $Z$  can be expressed as linear combinations of the first  $k$  rows, it follows that the  $f_{(r)}$  can be considered as analytic functions of  $Z_k$  alone, and hence each  $h_{(r)}$  is an analytic function in  $Z_{\alpha i}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha, i \leq k$ . Condition (2.17) implies that each  $h_{(r)}$  is locally constant, and we can patch the neighbourhoods together to show that each  $h_{(r)}$  is globally constant since  $M$  is connected. This completes the proof of the theorem for this case.

(ii) *Case  $n < k$ .* To prove the theorem for this case we make use of the special case of the previous one when  $n = k$ . Then  $M = \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$  is naturally embedded in  $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ . Write every element of  $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$  in the form  $\begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $Z_1 \in M$ . Then  $G$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$  via  $(\begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, g) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 g \\ Z_2 g \end{bmatrix}$ . From part (i) with  $k = n$  we have two bases for  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and they are related by equations (2.9) and (2.12). For each degree  $s$  we choose an ordering of these bases as follows.

The corresponding basis elements  $\partial_{11}^{r_1} \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_n}$  and  $L_{11}^{r_1} \dots L_{kn}^{r_n}$  are listed first and the corresponding basis elements  $\partial_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots \partial_{ij}^{r_{ij}} \dots \partial_{kk}^{r_{kk}}$  and  $L_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots L_{ji}^{r_{ji}} \dots L_{kk}^{r_{kk}}$  with some exponent  $r_{ij} > 0$ ,  $n < i \leq k$ , are listed last. In both cases the exponents  $r_{ij}$  sum up to  $s$ . From equations (2.9) and (2.12) we deduce that with this ordering the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  factored in block matrices as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A' & 0 \\ 0 & A'' \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B' & 0 \\ 0 & B'' \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.18}$$

where  $A'$  (resp.  $B'$ ) is the matrix of the first set of basis elements  $\partial^{(r)}$  (resp.  $L^{(r)}$ ) in terms of the first set of basis elements  $L^{(r)}$  (resp.  $\partial^{(r)}$ ), and similarly for  $A''$  and  $B''$ . Thus  $A'B' = I$  and  $A''B'' = I$ .

Now let  $D|Z_1 = \sum_{r_{11}+\dots+r_{nk} \leq s} f_{r_{11} \dots r_{nk}}(Z_1) \partial_{11}^{r_{11}} |Z_1 \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_{nk}} |Z_1$  be a right-invariant differential operator on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ . Then obviously  $D$  is also a right-invariant differential operator on  $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$ ; the functions  $f_{r_{11} \dots r_{nk}}$  can be considered as functions on  $\mathbb{C}^{k \times k}$  which are independent of the variable  $Z_2$ . As in the case (i) we now proceed by induction on the degree  $s$ , and we may only consider the case of a homogeneous differential operator  $D$  of degree  $s$ . Then for  $s = 1$   $D = f_{11} \partial_{11} + \dots + f_{1k} \partial_{1k} + f_{21} \partial_{21} + \dots + f_{2k} \partial_{2k} + \dots + f_{n1} \partial_{n1} + \dots + f_{nk} \partial_{nk}$ . By part (i) and our ordering we have

$$D = h_{11}L_{11} + h_{12}L_{21} + \dots + h_{1k}L_{k1} + h_{21}L_{12} + \dots + h_{2k}L_{k2} + \dots \\ + h_{n1}L_{1n} + \dots + h_{nk}L_{kn} + h_{n+1,1}L_{n+1,1} + \dots + h_{kk}L_{kk}$$

where the  $h_{ij}$  are scalars. This leads to the following systems of linear equations:

$$[Z^t] \begin{bmatrix} h_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ h_{ik} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{ik} \end{bmatrix} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \text{and} \quad [Z^t] \begin{bmatrix} h_{j1} \\ \vdots \\ h_{jk} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad n < j \leq k. \tag{2.19}$$

Again we may suppose that  $Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}$  is an invertible  $k \times k$  matrix. This forces the  $h_{i,n+1}, \dots, h_{ik}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  to be zero because the  $f_{ik}$  are independent of  $Z_2$ . This also implies that  $h_{j1} = h_{j2} = \dots = h_{jk} = 0$  for  $n < j \leq k$ . Thus  $D$  can be written uniquely as  $\sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n} h_{ij} L_{ji}$  where the  $h_{ij}$  are constants. Now suppose the theorem holds for differential operators of degree  $\leq s - 1$ , and consider  $D = \sum_{r_{11}+\dots+r_{nk}=s} f_{r_{11} \dots r_{nk}} \partial_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_{nk}}$ , a homogeneous right-invariant differential operator of degree  $s$ . With our new ordering equation (2.14) implies that

$$B'H' = F \quad \text{and} \quad B''H'' = 0 \tag{2.20}$$



where  $H'$  is the column matrix with entries  $h_{r_{11}\dots r_{nk}}$  and  $H''$  is the column matrix with entries equal to the remaining  $h_{r_{11}\dots r_{ij}\dots r_{kk}}$ ,  $r_{ij} > 0$  and  $i > n$ . Since  $B''$  is invertible  $H''$  equals 0. Since for  $j > n$   $L_{ji} = \sum_{\ell=1}^k Z_{j\ell} \partial_{i\ell}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , depends on the variable  $Z_2$ , and a formula analogous to (2.8) expressing  $L_{1i}^{s_{i1}} L_{2i}^{s_{i2}} \dots L_{ki}^{s_{ik}}$  in terms of  $\partial_{i1}^{r_{i1}} \dots \partial_{ik}^{r_{ik}}$  implies that whenever the exponent  $s_{ij}$  in the monomial  $L_{1i}^{s_{i1}} \dots L_{ji}^{s_{ij}} \dots L_{ki}^{s_{ik}}$  is positive the corresponding coefficient  $b_{(r)}^{(s)}$  in equation (2.12) must depend on the variable  $Z_2$ . If we order the bases  $\{\partial^{(r)}\}$  and  $\{L^{(r)}\}$  in such a way that the basis elements involving  $\partial_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots \partial_{ij}^{r_{ij}} \dots \partial_{nk}^{r_{nk}}$  and  $L_{11}^{s_{11}} \dots L_{ji}^{s_{ij}} \dots L_{kn}^{s_{nk}}$  with  $j > n$  and  $s_{ij} > 0$  (the lexicographic ordering for example) then the equation  $B'H' = F$  implies that the last columns of the matrix  $B'$  ( $j > n$ ) involve  $Z_2$  and the corresponding last rows of  $H'$  must be zero since  $F$  does not depend on  $Z_2$ . Thus we have shown that

$$D = \sum_{r_{11}+\dots+r_{nn}=s} h_{r_{11}\dots r_{nn}} L_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots L_{ji}^{r_{ij}} \dots L_{nn}^{r_{nn}}$$

where the coefficients  $h_{r_{11}\dots r_{nn}}$  are scalars. This completes the proof of part (ii) and hence of the theorem as well. □

**Remark 2.2.**

- (i) If we consider the symmetrized form of the ordered monomials  $L_{\alpha_1\beta_1} \dots L_{\alpha_s\beta_s}$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{\sigma \in \sum_s} L_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}\beta_{\sigma(1)}} \dots L_{\alpha_{\sigma(s)}\beta_{\sigma(s)}}$  where  $\sum_s$  is the symmetric groups of index  $s$ , then it can be easily shown that the coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  in equation (2.10) are symmetric. Most of the algebraic proofs of PBW follow this direction. Our proof for the case  $n = k$  follows the proof of PBW in [Go].
- (ii) As we can see from the proof of the theorem the coefficients  $b_{(r)}^{(s)}$  of the matrix  $B$  are polynomial functions in  $Z$ . Taking into account that the coefficients  $h_{(r)}$  are scalars it follows from equation  $BH = F$  that the coefficients  $f_{(r)}$  are polynomials in  $Z$ . Thus if  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$ ) denotes the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}'$ ), whose definition already appeared in [Po], then

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}').$$

**3. An algebraic analogue of Segal’s theorem for Bargmann–Segal–Fock spaces**

If  $Z = (Z_{ij})$  is an element of  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$  let  $Z = X_{ij} + \sqrt{-1}Y_{ij}$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ . If  $dX_{ij}$  (resp.  $dY_{ij}$ ) denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we let  $dZ$  denote the Lebesgue product measure on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ . Define a Gaussian measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$  by

$$d\mu(Z) = \pi^{-nk} \exp[-\text{Tr}(ZZ^*)] dZ. \tag{3.1}$$

A function  $f : \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic square-integrable if it is holomorphic on the entire domain  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$  and if  $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}} |f(Z)|^2 d\mu(Z) < \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  denote the Bargmann–Segal–Fock space of all holomorphic square-integrable functions. Endowed with the inner product

$$(f|g) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}} f(Z) \overline{g(Z)} d\mu(Z) \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}_{n \times k} \tag{3.2}$$

$\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  has a Hilbert space structure. It can be easily verified that the inner product defined by equation (3.2) coincides with the following inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = f(D) \overline{g(\bar{Z})}|_{Z=0} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $f(D)$  denotes the formal power series obtained by replacing  $Z_{\alpha i}$  by the partial derivatives  $\partial_{\alpha i}$  ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ). Note that the subspace  $P_{n \times k}$  of all polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$

is dense in  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$ . Later in this section we will use equation (3.3) to define inner products on generalized Bargmann–Segal–Fock spaces when either  $n$  or  $k$ , or both, tend to infinity.

Let  $G_0 = U(k)$  (resp.  $G'_0 = U(n)$ ) then it is easy to verify that the representation  $R_{G_0}$  (resp.  $L_{G'_0}$ ) defined on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  by right (resp. left) translation is unitary. Let  $L_{G'_0} \otimes R_{G_0}$  denote the joint action of  $G'_0 \times G_0$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  by

$$[(L_{G'_0} \otimes R_{G_0})(g', g)f](Z) = f((g')^t Zg) \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $(g', g) \in G'_0 \times G_0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$ . Then the representations  $L_{G'_0}$  and  $R_{G_0}$  are dual (see TT1]) and we have the following decomposition:

$$\mathcal{F}_{n \times k} = \sum_{(\lambda)} \oplus \mathcal{I}^{(\lambda)} \tag{3.5}$$

where in equation (3.5) the label  $(\lambda)$  denotes both the signature of an irreducible representation of  $G'_0$  and  $G_0$  of the form  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r)$  with  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_r \geq 0$  non-negative integers and  $r = \min(n, k)$ . The subspace  $\mathcal{I}^{(\lambda)}$  denotes the  $(\lambda)$ -isotypic component, i.e., the direct sum of all irreducible subrepresentations of  $R_{G_0}$  (resp.  $L_{G'_0}$ ) that belong to the equivalence class  $\lambda_{G_0}$  (resp.  $\lambda_{G'_0}$ ). Moreover, the restriction of  $L_{G'_0} \otimes R_{G_0}$  to  $\mathcal{I}^{(\lambda)}$  is irreducible; i.e.,  $\mathcal{I}^{(\lambda)} \approx V^{\lambda_{G'_0}} \otimes W^{\lambda_{G_0}}$ , where  $V^{\lambda_{G'_0}}$  (resp.  $W^{\lambda_{G_0}}$ ) is an irreducible  $G_0$ -module of class  $(\lambda_{G_0})$  (resp.  $G'_0$ -module of class  $(\lambda_{G'_0})$ ). Moreover, the vector

$$f_{(\lambda)}(Z) = \Delta_1^{m_1 - m_2}(A) \Delta_2^{m_2 - m_3}(Z) \dots \Delta_r^{m_r}(Z) \quad Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k} \tag{3.6}$$

with  $\Delta_i(Z)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , the  $i$ th principal minor of  $Z$ , is the highest weight vector corresponding to the signature  $(\lambda_{G'_0}, \lambda_{G_0})$  (see [Ze]). Since  $G$  (resp.  $G'$ ) is the complexification of  $G_0$  (resp.  $G'_0$ ) the ‘Weyl’s unitarian trick’ (cf [Va]) implies that the representation  $L \otimes R$  of  $G' \times G$  on  $\mathcal{I}^{(\lambda)}$  is also irreducible (but of course not unitary) with the same signature  $(\lambda_{G'}, \lambda_G)$  and the same highest weight vector  $f_{(\lambda)}$ . Thus we have a multiplicity-free decomposition of  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  into irreducible  $G' \times G$ -submodules.

We now consider the Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$  of differential operators  $\sum_{(r)(s)} c_{(r)(s)} Z^{(r)} \partial^{(s)}$  with polynomial coefficients;  $Z = (Z_{\alpha_i})$ ,  $\partial = (\partial_{\alpha_i})$ ,  $Z^{(r)} = Z_{11}^{r_{11}} \dots Z_{nk}^{r_{nk}}$ ,  $\partial^{(s)} = \partial_{11}^{s_{11}} \dots \partial_{nk}^{s_{nk}}$ ,  $c_{(r)(s)} \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $c_{(r)(s)} \neq 0$  for at most finitely many multi-indices  $(r)$ ,  $(s)$ . Then as an algebra  $W_{n \times k}$  is generated by the  $2nk$  generators  $Z_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\partial_{\alpha_i}$  subject to the relation

$$[\partial_{\alpha_i}, Z_{\beta_j}] = \delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}, [Z_{\alpha_i}, Z_{\beta_j}] = [\partial_{\alpha_i}, \partial_{\beta_j}] = 0$$

where  $[ , ]$  denotes the commutator and  $\delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$  is the Kronecker symbol which equals 1 if  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise. For more details on Weyl algebras see, e.g., [Di, Eh].

The Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$  acts on  $P_{n \times k}$ , and hence on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$ , by formal differentiation. It is easy to verify that the elements  $Z^{(r)} \partial^{(s)}$  form a basis for the vector space  $W_{n \times k}$ . Let  $W_{n \times k}^m$  be the subset of all linear combinations of  $Z^{(r)} \partial^{(s)}$  such that  $|(r)| + |(s)| \leq m$ . Then clearly  $W_{n \times k}^m W_{n \times k}^{m'} \subset W_{n \times k}^{m+m'}$ , so  $W_{n \times k}$  is a filtered algebra. Set  $W_{n \times k}^{-1} = \phi$ , for  $w \in W_{n \times k}$  the integer  $m \geq 0$  such that  $w \in W_{n \times k}^m$  but  $w \notin W_{n \times k}^{m-1}$  is called the *order of  $w$* . then the Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$  is the subalgebra of the algebra  $\mathcal{D}^w(M)$  of all differential operators defined by equation (2.6) for which  $f_{(r)}$  are polynomial functions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subalgebra of the Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$  then the centralizer (or commutant) of  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $W_{n \times k}$  is defined as the set

$$\{w \in W_{n \times k} : [w, a] = 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The centre of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as the set

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) = \{w \in \mathcal{A} : [w, a] = 0, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Clearly the universal enveloping algebras  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (cf remark 2.2 (ii)) are subalgebras of the Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$ . In this context we have the following corollary 3.2 which is an algebraic analogue of Segal's theorem. Note that corollary 3.2 is essentially theorem 7 (p 553) together with the discussion on Capelli identities (p 564) of [Ho2]. However, our proof is very different from that of [Ho2]. Our proof follows immediately from theorem 2.1, in which  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}')$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$ ) is by hypothesis the algebra of right (resp. left) invariant differential operators with analytic coefficients (see equation (2.6)) (a local property), but surprisingly theorem 2.1 implies that these coefficients are polynomials (cf remark 2.2 (ii)) (a global property). Whereas [Ho2] already assumes that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}')$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$  are subalgebras of the Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *The universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$  is the centralizer of the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  in the Weyl algebra  $W_{n \times k}$ , and vice-versa. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}').$$

**Proof.** From remark 2.2 (ii) we know that  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') = \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g}')$ . Now it is easy to show that a differential operator  $D$  is right (resp. left) invariant if and only if  $[R_{ij}, D] = 0$ ,  $\forall i, j = 1, \dots, k$  (resp.  $[L_{\alpha\beta}, D] = 0$ ,  $\forall \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then theorem 2.1 implies that the centralizer of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$  in  $W_{n \times k}$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and vice versa. By definition the centre of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  is contained in both  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  and its centralizer in  $W_{n \times k}$ , so obviously  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')$ . Similarly,  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}')) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}') \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** *In physics, if  $G$  is a symmetry group of some physical system, then the spectra of the  $G$ -invariant operators determine the observable quantum numbers of the physical system. Elements of the centre of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of  $G$  are sometimes called Casimir invariants (cf, e.g., [Ba+Ra] or [Ze]). In [Ba+Ra] Weyl algebras are also called Heisenberg algebras ([Di] gives a slightly different definition of a Heisenberg algebra) and several important theorems relating Heisenberg fields and Lie fields of classical groups are proved.*

*Generators of Casimir invariants of classical groups are well known (see, e.g., [Ba+Ra] or [Ze]). However, in the context of corollary 3.2, the minimal number of algebraically independent generators of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))$ ) may differ from the one given in [Ba+Ra] or [Ze]. This is not a contradiction but reflects the statement of theorem 2.1 and the fact that we have an explicit decomposition of  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  into isotypic components with double signatures (and hence 'double spectra'). To wit we consider the following example described in the appendix.*

*From the appendix, as an example, we consider the case  $n = 2$  and  $k = 3$ . Then  $\{1, \text{Tr}([L]), \text{Tr}([L]^2)\}$  generates  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))$ . So  $\text{Tr}([L]^3)$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $1, \text{Tr}([L]),$  and  $\text{Tr}([L]^2)$ . From equation (A.3) we see that  $\text{Tr}([R]^3)$  can be expressed as a polynomial in  $1, \text{Tr}([R])$  and  $\text{Tr}([R]^2)$ . Thus, in general, if we fix  $n$ , for example, and consider the case  $k$  arbitrary with  $k \geq n$ , then to compute the spectra of the representation  $R$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$  we only need to consider the  $n$  Casimir invariants  $\text{Tr}([L]^i)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . All of these facts are, of course, theoretically evident since corollary 3.2 affirms that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))$ . Nevertheless they are important in order to understand the generalization of corollary 3.2 to the case of infinite-dimensional unitary and general linear groups. This is what we will turn our attention to.*

Representation theory of  $U(\infty)$  (and  $GL_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ ) has a long history. Starting with the work of Segal in [Se2], it was thoroughly investigated by Kirillov in [Ki], Stratila and Voiculescu in [St+Vo], Pickrell in [Pi], Ol'shanskii in [Ol1], Gelfand and Graev in [Ge+Gr] and Kac in [Ka], to cite just a few. A more complete list of references can be found in the comprehensive and important work of Ol'shanskii in [Ol2]. For our work we will mostly quote the latter.

Let  $G_0(k)$  and  $G(k)$  denote the unitary group  $U(k)$  and the general linear group  $GL(k, \mathbb{C})$ , respectively. Then the *inductive limits*  $G_0(\infty) = \lim_{\rightarrow} G_0(k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_0(k)$  and  $G(\infty) = \lim_{\rightarrow} G(k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G(k)$  are defined as follows:

$G(\infty) = \{g = (g_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} : \text{all but a finite number of } g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \text{ are } 0 \text{ and } g \text{ is invertible}\}$   
and

$$G_0(\infty) = \{u \in G(\infty) : u^* = u^{-1}\}.$$

**Definition 3.4 (G I Ol’shanskii).** A unitary representation of the group  $G_0(\infty)$  is called *tame* if it is continuous in the group topology in which the descending chain of subgroups of the type  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_k & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  constitutes a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of the identity  $1_{\infty}$ .

Consider  $G_0(\infty)$  and assume that for each  $k$  a unitary representation  $(R_k, \mathcal{H}_k)$  of  $G_0(k)$  is given and an isometric embedding (of Hilbert spaces)  $i_k : \mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$  commuting with the action of  $G_0(k)$  is given (i.e.,  $i_k \circ R_k(u) = R_{k+1}(u) \circ i_k \forall u \in G_0(k)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  denote the Hilbert completion of  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_k$ , then there exists uniquely a unitary representation of  $R_{\infty}$  of  $G_0(\infty)$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  defined by

$$R_{\infty}(u)f = R_k(u)f \quad \text{if } u \in G_0(k) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_k.$$

The representation  $(R_{\infty}, \mathcal{H}_{\infty})$  is called the *inductive limit* of the sequence  $\{(R_k, \mathcal{H}_k)\}$ . Then we have the following theorem (see [OI2] for a proof.).

If the representations  $(R_k, \mathcal{H}_k)$  are all irreducible then the inductive limit  $(R_{\infty}, \mathcal{H}_{\infty})$  is also irreducible.

Let  $\lambda_{G_0(k)} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ ,  $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_k \geq 0$ ,  $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the signature of an irreducible  $G_0(k)$ -module  $\{\rho_{\lambda}, V^{\lambda_{G_0(k)}}\}$ . In [OI2] it was shown that

*All unitary irreducible tame representations of  $G_0(\infty)$  are inductive limits of sequences of the form  $\{\rho_{\lambda}, V^{\lambda_{G_0(k)}}\}$ , where in each  $(\lambda) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, \dots)$   $m_i$  are equal to 0 if  $i$  is sufficiently large.*

It follows from the ‘Weyl’s unitarian trick’ that all irreducible tame representations of  $G(\infty)$  are inductive limits of sequences of the form  $\{\rho_{\lambda}, V^{\lambda_{G(k)}}\}$ .

**Definition 3.5 (G I Ol’shanskii).** A representation of  $G_0(\infty)$  (resp.  $G(\infty)$ ) is called *holomorphic* if it is a direct sum (of any number) of irreducible tame representations.

Now consider again the dual action of  $G'_0(n) \times G_0(k)$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$ . Fix  $n$  and let  $G_0(n, \infty) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_0(k)$ . Obviously the natural embedding  $i_k : \mathcal{F}_{n \times k} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n \times (k+1)}$  satisfies the  $i_k \circ (L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_k})(u', u) = (L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_k})(u', u) \circ i_k$  for all  $(u', u) \in G'_0(n) \times G_0(k)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  denote the Hilbert completion of  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n \times k}$ , and let  $\{L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_{\infty}}, \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}\}$  be the inductive limit of the sequence  $\{L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_k}, \mathcal{F}_{n \times k}\}$ . Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** The representation  $L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_{\infty}}$  (resp.  $L_{G'_n} \otimes R_{G_{\infty}}$ ) on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  is holomorphic and the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  is decomposed into an orthogonal direct sum

$$\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty} = \sum_{(\lambda)} \oplus \mathcal{I}_{n \times \infty}^{(\lambda)} \tag{3.7}$$

where in equation (3.7) the label  $(\lambda)$  denotes both the signature of an irreducible representation of  $G'_0(n)$  and of  $G_0(n, \infty)$  of the form  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$  and  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ , respectively. The restriction of  $L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_{\infty}}$  (resp.  $L_{G'_n} \otimes R_{G_{\infty}}$ ) to the isotypic component  $\mathcal{I}_{n \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}$  is irreducible. Moreover the vector  $f_{(\lambda)}$  defined by equation (3.6) but with  $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times \infty}$  is the highest weight vector corresponding to the double signature  $(\lambda_{G'_n}, \lambda_{G_{\infty}})$ .

**Proof.** First let us observe that the highest weight vector  $f_{(\lambda)}$  of the irreducible  $G_0(n) \times G_0(k)$ -module  $\mathcal{I}_{n \times k}^{(\lambda)}$  remains the same for all  $k \geq n$ . Since  $f_{(\lambda)}$  is a cyclic vector for each  $\mathcal{I}_{n \times k}^{(\lambda)}$ ,  $k \geq n$ , it follows that we have the embedding

$$i_k^{(\lambda)} : \mathcal{I}_{n \times k}^{(\lambda)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{n \times (k+1)}^{(\lambda)}$$

such that

$$i_k^{(\lambda)} \circ (L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_k} |_{\mathcal{I}_{n \times k}^{(\lambda)}})(u', u) = (L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_k} |_{\mathcal{I}_{n \times k}^{(\lambda)}})(u', u) \circ i_k^{(\lambda)}$$

for all  $(u', u) \in G'_0(n) \times G_0(k)$ . Therefore, as an inductive limit of irreducible representations  $L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_\infty} |_{\mathcal{I}_{n \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}}$  is irreducible. Since at each stage we have the orthogonal direct sum  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k} = \sum_{(\lambda)} \mathcal{I}_{n \times k}^{(\lambda)}$  at the limit we must have  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty} = \sum_{(\lambda)} \mathcal{I}_{n \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}$ , and thus the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

Now let  $G'_0(\infty) \times G_0(\infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_0(n, \infty)$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty \times \infty}$  denote the Hilbert completion of  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$ . Then following the same process as in theorem 3.6 we can prove that  $\{L_{(G'_0)_\infty} \otimes R_{(G_0)_\infty}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty \times \infty}\}$  (resp.  $\{L_{G'_\infty} \otimes R_{G_\infty}, \mathcal{F}_{\infty \times \infty}\}$ ) is the inductive limit of the sequence  $\{L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_\infty}, \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}\}$  (resp.  $\{L_{G'_n} \otimes R_{G_\infty}, \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}\}$ ). Similarly if  $(\lambda) = (m_1, m_2, \dots)$  is the signature of either an irreducible tame representation of  $G'(\infty)$  or of  $G(\infty)$  with  $m_i$  equal to 0 for sufficiently large  $i$ , then the restriction of  $L_{(G'_0)_\infty} \otimes R_{(G_0)_\infty}$  to the  $(\lambda)$ -isotypic component  $\mathcal{I}_{\infty \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}$  is irreducible as the inductive limit of the sequence

$$\{L_{(G'_0)_n} \otimes R_{(G_0)_\infty} |_{\mathcal{I}_{n \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}}, \mathcal{I}_{n \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}\}.$$

**Corollary 3.7.** *The same conclusions as in theorem 3.6 hold for  $G'_0(\infty) \times G_0(\infty)$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty \times \infty}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\infty \times \infty}^{(\lambda)}$ .*

**Remark 3.8.** *A proof of corollary 3.7 can be found in [Ol2] where it is referred to as a Peter-Weyl theorem for the group  $G_0(\infty)$ .*

Now preserve the notations of theorem 3.6, fix  $n$  and consider the chain  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n \times (k+1)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$ . For each pair  $(n, k)$  let  $\mathfrak{g}(n, k)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}'(n, k)$  the Lie algebras generated by the infinitesimal operators defined by equation (2.1). Consider the *inverse* (or *projective*) *limit* (see, e.g., [Ro] for the definition of inverse limits) of the inverse system of modules  $\{\mathfrak{g}'(n, j), \psi_i^j\}$  where for each pair  $(i, j)$ ,  $i \leq j$ , the connecting morphism  $\psi_i^j : \mathfrak{g}'(n, j) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'(n, i)$  is the truncation map. For example, if

$$L_{\alpha\beta}^j = \sum_{\ell=1, \dots, j} Z_{\alpha\ell} \partial_{\beta\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\alpha\beta}^i = \sum_{\ell=1, \dots, i} Z_{\alpha\ell} \partial_{\beta\ell} \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$$

then  $\psi_i^j(L_{\alpha\beta}^j) = L_{\alpha\beta}^i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty) \equiv \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathfrak{g}(n, k)$  denote the inverse limit of the system of modules  $\{\mathfrak{g}'(n, k), \psi_i^k\}$ . Then clearly  $\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty)$  is the Lie algebra defined by the generators

$$L_{\alpha\beta}^{n \times \infty} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} Z_{\alpha\ell} \partial_{\beta\ell} \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n \tag{3.8}$$

where in equation (3.8) the  $L_{\alpha\beta}^{n \times \infty}$  act on the inductive chain  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n \times (k+1)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  by formal differentiation. Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  denote the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty)$  then the action of  $\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty)$  extends obviously to an action of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  on the inductive chain  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times k} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n \times (k+1)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$ .

The Lie algebra of the infinitesimal action of  $G(n, \infty)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  is defined by the generator

$$R_{i,j}^{n \times \infty} = \sum_{\mu=1, \dots, n} Z_{\mu i} \partial_{\mu j} \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.9}$$

To define the *dual universal enveloping algebra* to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  is a little more delicate. For this we must generalize first the notion of a Weyl algebra to fit our context.

**Definition 3.9.** An element of the generalized Weyl algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$  is a formal series or sum in the column indices of monomials in the indeterminates  $1, Z_{\alpha i}$  and  $\partial_{\beta j}; 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It is clear that  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$  is an algebra in the formal sense. However, to handle the scalars in the operations of this algebra requires caution. For example, in remark 3.3 with  $n = 1$  we can define the elements  $\text{Tr}([L]^s), \text{Tr}([R]^s), s \geq 1$ , of  $\mathcal{W}_{n \times \infty}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([L]^s) &= (L_{11}^{n \times \infty})^s = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \partial_i \right)^s \\ \text{Tr}([R]^s) &= \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^{\infty} R_{i_1 i_2} R_{i_2 i_3} \dots R_{i_s i_1} \quad R_{ij} = Z_i \partial_j \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

but the relations (A.3) and (A.6) must be handled with care. To wit we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([R]^2) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} R_{ij} R_{ji} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (R_{ii} + Z_i Z_j \partial_j \partial_i) \\ &= (\Sigma) \text{Tr}([L]) - \text{Tr}([L]) + (\text{Tr}[L])^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol  $\Sigma$  denotes the formal series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}$  in the indeterminate  $1$ . With this definition when  $n = 1$  equation (A.6) generalizes to

$$\text{Tr}([R]^3) = \text{Tr}([L]^3) + 2(\Sigma - 1)(\text{Tr}([L])^2) + (\Sigma - 1)^2 \text{Tr}([L]).$$

This can be formalized by letting  $P(\Sigma)$  denote the commutative polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{C}$  in the indeterminate  $\Sigma$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$  becomes an algebra over  $P(\Sigma)$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$  acts on  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  by formal differentiation and  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W_{n \times k}$  is contained in  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$ . Moreover the projection map  $p_k : \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty} \rightarrow W_{n \times k}$  is defined by truncation. Similarly we can define  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$  as the algebra which consists of formal series or sums generated by the  $R_{ij}$  and  $\Sigma; i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . An example of an element of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$  is  $\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{\alpha=1}^n Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha j}) (\sum_{\beta=1}^n Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\beta i})$ . Clearly  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}(n, k))$  is contained in  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$ . Obviously  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$  are subalgebras of  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$ . In this context we have the following generalization of theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.10.** The universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  is the centralizer of the universal enveloping algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$  in the generalized Weyl algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$ , and vice versa. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty)))$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty)))$ ) denotes the centre of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  (resp.  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$ ) then  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}'(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))) = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty)) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$ .

**Proof.** For each  $k$  let  $p'_k$  be the projection of the inverse limit  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  which is defined by truncation then

$$p'_k(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))) = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, k)).$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, k))$  is the centralizer of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}(n, k))$  in  $W_{n \times k}$  and we have the chain  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}(n, k)) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}(n, k+1)) \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$  it follows that the centralizer of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n \times \infty}$  is  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$ . The converse can be proved in a similar fashion. The proof of the statement regarding the centres is now obvious.  $\square$

Finally, let  $\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty)$  denote the inverse limit of  $\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty)$  is generated by the basis elements  $R_{ij}^{\infty} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha j}; i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty)$  is the Lie algebra generated by the basis elements  $L_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta i}; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ . Similarly to Definition 3.8 we define the Weyl algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\infty \times \infty}$  which consists of elements which are formal series or sums in both row and column indices of the monomials in the indeterminates  $1, Z_{\alpha i}$ , and  $\partial_{\beta j}; \alpha, \beta, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\infty \times \infty}$  is an algebra over the

commutative ring  $P(\Sigma)$ . The universal enveloping algebras  $U(\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty))$  and  $\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty))$  are defined similarly. Obviously they are subalgebras of  $\tilde{W}_{\infty \times \infty}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty)))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(U(\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty)))$  denote their respective centres. Then, similarly to theorem 3.10, we have theorem 3.11 as follows.

**Theorem 3.11.** *The universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty))$  and  $\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty))$  are mutual centralizers in the generalized Weyl algebra  $\tilde{W}_{\infty \times \infty}$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}(U(\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty))) = \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty))) = U(\mathfrak{g}'(\infty, \infty)) \cap \tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}(\infty, \infty)).$$

**Remark 3.12.**

(i) *Elements of the centres defined in theorems 3.10 and 3.11 are called generalized Casimir invariants. In [O13] a notion of generalized Casimir invariants are defined but it is not clear to us if they have any connection with ours. It can be easily shown that the families  $\{\text{Tr}([L^{n \times \infty}]^s)\}_{s \geq 0}$ ,  $\{\text{Tr}([R^{n \times \infty}]^s)\}_{s \geq 0}$  form two bases for the common centre of  $U(\mathfrak{g}'(n, \infty))$  and  $\tilde{U}(\mathfrak{g}(n, \infty))$ , where  $\text{Tr}([L^{n \times \infty}]^s)$  and  $\text{Tr}([R^{n \times \infty}]^s)$  are defined, respectively, by*

$$\text{Tr}([L^{n \times \infty}]^s) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s=1} L_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}^{n \times \infty} \dots L_{\alpha_s \alpha_1}^{n \times \infty}$$

and

$$\text{Tr}([R^{n \times \infty}]^s) = \sum_{i_{11}, \dots, i_s=1} R_{i_{11} i_2}^{n \times \infty} \dots R_{i_s i_1}^{n \times \infty}.$$

Finally, note that in our process we have fixed  $n$  and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and then let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but if we reverse the roles of  $n$  and  $k$  the same conclusions still hold.

(ii) *These generalized Casimir invariants act on the inductive limits  $\mathcal{F}_{n \times \infty}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty \times \infty}$  by formal differentiation. It can be shown that their spectra satisfy a Chevalley–Racah-type theorem and this fact is used to decompose tensor products of irreducible tame representations of  $U(\infty)$  in [Ho+TT].*

#### 4. Conclusion

We have shown that a PBW theorem can be generalized to the pair  $(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), GL(k, \mathbb{C}))$  and a Theorem by Segal can be generalized for the pairs  $(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), GL(k, \mathbb{C}))$ ,  $(GL(n, \mathbb{C}), GL_{n \times \infty}(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $(GL_{\infty \times \infty}(\mathbb{C}), GL_{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{C}))$ . We also gave a generalization of the notion of Casimir invariants and they seem to have important applications to physics; especially in the problem of explicit decompositions of tensor products of irreducible tame representations of  $U(\infty)$ . In part II of this paper we will give the same generalizations to other classical dual pairs.

#### Appendix

Let  $[L]$  (resp.  $[R]$ ) the matrix with entries  $L_{\alpha, \beta}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$  (resp.  $R_{ij}$ ;  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ ) then we have two sets of generators of Casimir invariants  $\text{Tr}([L]^s)$ ,  $\text{Tr}([R]^s)$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , corresponding to the representations  $L$  and  $R$ , respectively.

For  $s = 1$  we have

$$\text{Tr}([L]) = \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \left( \sum_i Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} \right) = \sum_i \left( \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} \right) = \sum_i R_{ii} = \text{Tr}(R).$$

For  $s = 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([L]^2) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} L_{\alpha\beta} L_{\beta\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left( \sum_i Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\beta i} \right) \left( \sum_j \beta_j \partial_{\alpha j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left( \sum_i Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} + \sum_{i,j} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\beta i} \partial_{\alpha j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left( L_{\alpha\alpha} + \sum_{i,j} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\beta i} \partial_{\alpha j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{Tr}([L]^2) = n \text{Tr}(L) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{i,j} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\beta i} \partial_{\alpha j} \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([R]^2) &= \sum_{i,j} R_{ij} R_{ji} = \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha j} \right) \left( \sum_{\beta} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\beta i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha i} \partial_{\alpha i} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\alpha j} \partial_{\beta i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \left( R_{ii} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\alpha j} \partial_{\beta i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\text{Tr}([R]^2) = k \text{Tr}(R) + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} \partial_{\alpha j} \partial_{\beta i}. \tag{A.2}$$

It follows from equations (A.1) and (A.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([R]^2) &= \text{Tr}([L]^2) + (k - n) \text{Tr}([L]) \\ \text{Tr}([L]^2) &= \text{Tr}([R]^2) + (n - k) \text{Tr}([R]). \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

For  $s = 3$  we have, after skipping some tedious computations,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([L]^3) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} L_{\alpha\beta} L_{\beta\gamma} L_{\gamma\alpha} = 2n \text{Tr}([L]^2) + (\text{Tr}([L]))^2 - (n^2 + 1) \text{Tr}([L]) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \sum_{i,j,\ell} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} Z_{\gamma \ell} \partial_{\beta i} \partial_{\gamma j} \partial_{\alpha \ell} \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

and

$$\text{Tr}([R]^3) = 2k \text{Tr}([R]^2) + (\text{Tr}([R]))^2 - (k^2 + 1) \text{Tr}([R]) + \sum_{i,j,\ell} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} Z_{\alpha i} Z_{\beta j} Z_{\gamma \ell} \partial_{\alpha j} \partial_{\beta \ell} \partial_{\gamma i}. \tag{A.5}$$

From equations (A.4) and (A.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}([R]^3) &= \text{Tr}([L]^3) + 2(k - n) \text{Tr}([L]^2) + (k - n)^2 \text{Tr}([L]) \\ \text{Tr}([L]^3) &= \text{Tr}([R]^3) + 2(n - k) \text{Tr}([R]^2) + (n - k)^2 \text{Tr}([R]). \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

For  $s$  large the computations become very complicated, but using induction we can show that  $\text{Tr}([R]^s)$  can be expressed as

$$\text{Tr}([R]^s) = \sum_{i=1,\dots,s} c_i \text{Tr}([L]^i) \tag{A.7}$$



where the constants  $c_i$  are integers depending on  $n$  and  $k$ , and  $c_s = 1$ . Thus if we consider the canonical filtration  $(\mathcal{U}_s(\mathfrak{g}))_{s \geq 0}$  (and similarly  $(\mathcal{U}_s(\mathfrak{g}'))_{s \geq 0}$ ) and let  $Gr(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the associated graded algebra then the maps

$$\varphi_s : \mathcal{Z}_s(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))/\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_s(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))/\mathcal{Z}_{s-1}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))$$

which send  $\text{Tr}([R]^s)$  onto  $\text{Tr}([L]^s)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , define a vector space isomorphism of the graded algebra associated with  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$  onto the graded algebra associated with  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}'))$ .

## References

- [Ba+Ra] Barut A and Raczyk R 1977 *Theory of Group Representations and Applications* (Warsaw: Polish Scientific Publishers)
- [Bi] Birkhoff G 1937 Representability of Lie algebras and Lie groups by matrices *Ann. Math.* **38** 526–32
- [Bo] Bourbaki N 1975 *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Part I* (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley)
- [Di] Dixmier J 1996 *Enveloping Algebras (Graduate Studies in Math. vol 11)* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [Eh] Ehlers F 1987 The Weyl algebra *Algebraic D-Modules (Perspectives in Mathematics vol 2)* (Boston, MA: Academic)
- [Ge+Gr] Gelfand IM and Graev MI 1990 Principal representations of the group  $U(\infty)$  *Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics (Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. vol. 7)* ed A M Vershik and D P Zhelobenko (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- [Go] Godement R 1982 *Introduction à la Théorie des Groupes de Lie (Math. Univ. Paris VII vol 11)* (Paris: University Paris VII)
- [Ho1] Howe R 1985 Dual pairs in physics: Harmonic oscillators, photons, electrons, and singletons *Applications of Group Theory in Physics and Mathematical Physics (Chicago, 1982) (Lectures in Appl. Math. vol 21)* ed M Flato, P Sally and G Zuckerman (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [Ho2] Howe R 1989 Remarks on classical invariant theory *J. Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **313** 539–70
- [Ho+TT] Howe R M and Ton-That T Multiplicity, invariants and tensor product decompositions of tame representations of  $U(\infty)$ , submitted
- [Ja] Jacobson N 1962 Lie algebras *Interscience Publication* (New York: Wiley)
- [Ka] Kac V 1980 Highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras *Proc. Int. Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978)* (Helsinki: Academie Scientiazum Fennica) pp 299–304
- [Ki] Kirillov A 1973 Representations of the infinite-dimensional unitary group *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **212** 288–90
- [Ki] Kirillov A 1973 *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **14** 1355–8
- [OI1] Ol'shanskii G I 1988 The method of holomorphic extensions in the theory of unitary representations of infinite-dimensional classical groups *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **22** 273–85
- [OI2] Ol'shanskii G I 1990 Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs  $(G, K)$  and the formalism of R Howe *Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics (Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. vol 7)* ed A M Vershik and D P Zhelobenko (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- [OI3] Ol'shanskii G I 1991 Representations of infinite-dimensional classical groups, limits of enveloping algebras, and Yangians *Topics in Representation Theory (Adv. Soviet Math. vol 2)* ed A A Kirillov (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
- [Pi] Pickrell D 1987 Decomposition of regular representations of  $U(H)_\infty$  *Pacific J. Math.* **128** 319–32
- [Po] Poincaré H 1900 Sur les groupes continus *Cambridge Philosophical Transactions* vol 18 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) pp 220–55
- [Po] Poincaré H 1934 *Oeuvres de Henri Poincaré* Tome III (Paris: Gauthier-Villars) pp 173–212
- [Ro] Rotman J 1979 *An Introduction to Homological Algebra* (New York: Academic)
- [Se1] Segal I 1950 The two-sided regular representation of a unimodular locally compact group *Ann. Math.* **51** 293–8
- [Se2] Segal I 1957 The structure of a class of representations of the unitary group on a Hilbert space *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **8** 197–203
- [St+Vo] Stratila S and Voiculescu D 1975 *Representations of AF-algebras and the group  $U(\infty)$  (Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol 486)* (Berlin: Springer)
- [TT1] Ton-That T 1995 Dual representations and invariant theory *Contemporary Mathematics* vol 191 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)

- [TT2] Ton-That T 1995 Commutants in the Weyl Algebra  $\mathcal{W}_{n \times \infty}$  *AMS Special Session on Analysis on Lie Groups and Homogeneous Spaces (Burlington Mathfest, August 1995)*
- [Va] Varadarajan V S 1984 *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and their Representations* (Berlin: Springer)
- [Wi] Witt E 1937 Treue Darstellung liescher Ringe *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **177** 152–60
- [Ze] Zelobenko D P 1973 Compact Lie groups and their representations (*Transl. Math. Monographs vol 40*) (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)